

# Sudhanshu Pandey, Ph.D.

Scientist, Earth Science Section,  
Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology  
4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109  
Email: sudhanshu.pandey@jpl.nasa.gov  
LinkedIn, Web, Google Scholar

## Professional Experience

- **Scientist** 1/2022 - Present  
NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), Pasadena, CA, USA
- **Scientist** 8/2016 - 1/2022  
SRON Netherlands Institute for Space Research, Utrecht, The Netherlands

## Education

- **Ph.D. in Physics** 8/2012 – 2/2017  
Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands
- **BS-MS in Earth Sciences** 7/2007 – 5/2012  
Indian Institute of Science Education & Research, Kolkata, India

## Research Interests

My research focuses on atmospheric chemistry and physics, with a specialization in remote sensing and modeling to enhance our understanding of the Earth's carbon cycle. I focus on two critical aspects of carbon cycle science: monitoring and enhancing future carbon cycle predictions. By leveraging extensive satellite observation capabilities, I model the atmospheric transport of trace gases and develop quantitative methods for estimating trace gas emissions from both anthropogenic and natural sources. Key highlights of my research include:

- Remote sensing using satellites
- Plume detection and quantification
- Atmospheric transport models to track trace gas movements
- Bayesian atmospheric flux inversions using variational and analytical approaches
- Machine learning tools to detect and estimate point sources and emission fields
- Theoretical development to improve understanding of carbon cycle and observing systems
- Improving the carbon growth rate estimates to reduce Earth's carbon budget imbalance

## Awards and Grants

- NASA ROSES Early Career Investigator Program in Earth Science (ECIP-ES) Grant, 2023
- Best Oral Presentation Award, SRON Netherlands Science Day, 2019
- INSPIRE Fellowship, Department of Science & Technology (DST), India, 2008

## Select Conference Presentations

- American Geophysical Union (AGU) Fall meetings in 2015, 2018, 2019, 2021, 2022, 2023 & 2024
- European Geosciences Union (EGU) General Assembly in 2017, 2018 & 2019
- ESA Living Planet Symposium in 2013 & 2016
- International Carbon Dioxide Conference (ICDC) in 2017
- International Workshop on Greenhouse Gas Measurements from Space (IWGGMS) in 2014, 2018, 2021 & 2023

## Invited Talks

- **NASA JPL, 2018:** CH<sub>4</sub> monitoring using ESA's TROPOMI satellite
- **NASA GISS, 2018:** TROPOMI detection of CH<sub>4</sub> leakage from a gas well blowout
- **NASA JPL, 2019:** Satellite reveals extreme CH<sub>4</sub> leakage from a natural gas well blowout
- **Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology (IIT-M), Pune, India, 2019:** Atmospheric monitoring using ESA's TROPOMI satellite
- **US-EPA, 2021:** Satellite reveals extreme leakage from a natural gas well blowout
- **NOAA, 2023:** Global growth rate estimates of CO<sub>2</sub> from satellite observations

## Mentoring and Supervision

- Master's thesis project (9 months, full time): Main supervisor for Dr. Simon van Diepen (Delft University), and Dr. Maria Tsvilidou (Utrecht University). Co-supervisor for Peter Bijn (Delft University)
- Internship Supervision at JPL: Julia Gao (Caltech), Kayley Butler (USC, CA), Zijian Qiu (Harvard University), Monica Amezcua (California Polytechnic University – Pomona via JPL's MSP Program)
- Supervised seven university student projects at SRON, Leiden, each lasting between 3-6 months

## Teaching Experience

- Teaching Assistant for *Introduction to Climate Change* (2012 and 2013)  
Main Lecturer: Prof. Thomas Röckmann, Utrecht University, Netherlands
- Teaching Assistant for *Remote Sensing of the Earth* (2014 and 2015)  
Main Lecturer: Prof. Sander Houweling, Utrecht University, Netherlands

## Community Service

- Reviewer for scientific journals: Nature, Science Advances, Nature Climate Change, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, Carbon Management, Journal of Geophysical Research, Remote Sensing of Environment, Environmental Science & Technology, Environmental Research Letters, Geophysical Research Letters, and Remote Sensing.
- Review Editor: Frontiers
- Scientific research proposals review for NOAA and NASA
- Poster presentation judge at EGU and AGU annual meetings
- Hosted remote sensing session at the CEOS-GHG (Paris) 2023 meeting

# Publications

34 Published peer-reviewed publications. H-index: 26 Google Scholar.

## Peer-reviewed Publications

\*under review

1. **Pandey, S.**, et al. (2025). Relating multi-scale plume detection and area estimates of methane emissions: A theoretical and empirical analysis. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 59(16), 7931–7947. doi:10.1021/acs.est.4c07415
2. \***Ke, X.**, et al. (2025). Low-latency global carbon budget reveals a continuous decline of the land carbon sink during the 2023/24 El Niño event. under review at *National Science Review*. *arXiv preprint*. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2504.09189
3. **Albuhaisi, A.**, et al. (2025). Integrating satellite observations and hydrological models to unravel large TROPOMI methane emissions in South Sudan wetlands. *Remote Sensing*, 16, 4744. doi:10.3390/rs16244744
4. \***Pandey, S.**, et al. (2025). Quantitative evidence of improved estimates of Earth’s carbon cycle components. *Under review at Nature Communications*. [Preprint] doi:10.22541/essoar.172405915.56306923/v1
5. **Pandey, S.**, et al. (2024). Toward low-latency estimation of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> growth rates using satellite observations: Evaluating sampling errors of satellite and in situ observing approaches. *AGU Advances*, 5, e2023AV001145. doi:10.1029/2023AV001145
6. **Varon, D. J.**, et al. (2024). Quantifying NO<sub>x</sub> point sources with Landsat and Sentinel-2 satellite observations of NO<sub>2</sub> plumes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 121, e2317077121. doi:10.1073/pnas.2317077121
7. **Byrne, B.**, et al. (2024). Carbon emissions from the 2023 Canadian wildfires. *Nature*. doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07878-z
8. **Pandey, S.**, et al. (2023). Daily detection and quantification of methane leaks using Sentinel-3: A tiered satellite observation approach with Sentinel-2 and Sentinel-5P. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 296, 113716. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2023.113716
9. **Schuit, B. J.**, et al. (2023). Automated detection and monitoring of methane super-emitters using satellite data. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 23, 9071–9098. doi:10.5194/acp-23-9071-2023
10. **Worden, J. R.**, et al. (2023). Verifying methane inventories and trends with atmospheric methane data. *AGU Advances*, 4. doi:10.1029/2023AV000871
11. **Naus, S.**, et al. (2023). Assessing the relative importance of satellite-detected methane superemitters in quantifying total emissions for oil and gas production areas in Algeria. *Environmental Science & Technology*. doi:10.1021/acs.est.3c04746
12. **Varon, D. J.**, et al. (2023). Continuous weekly monitoring of methane emissions from the Permian Basin by inversion of TROPOMI satellite observations. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 23, 7503–7520. doi:10.5194/acp-23-7503-2023
13. **Maasackers, J. D.**, et al. (2022). Reconstructing and quantifying methane emissions from the full duration of a 38-day natural gas well blowout using space-based observations. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 270, 112755. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2021.112755
14. **Maasackers, J. D.**, et al. (2022). Using satellites to uncover large methane emissions from landfills. *Science Advances*, 8, 1–9. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abn9683
15. **Sadavarte, P.**, et al. (2022). A high-resolution gridded inventory of coal mine methane emissions for India and Australia. *Elementa*, 10, 1–14. doi:10.1525/elementa.2021.00056

16. **Pandey, S.**, et al. (2022). Order of magnitude wall time improvement of variational methane inversions by physical parallelization: A demonstration using TM5-4DVAR. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 15, 4555–4567. doi:10.5194/gmd-15-4555-2022
17. **Pandey, S.**, et al. (2021). Using satellite data to identify the methane emission controls of South Sudan’s wetlands. *Biogeosciences*, 18, 557–572. doi:10.5194/bg-18-557-2021
18. Cusworth, D. H., et al. (2021). Multi-satellite imaging of a gas well blowout enables quantification of total methane emissions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48(2), 1–9. doi:10.1029/2020GL090864
19. Sadavarte, P., et al. (2021). Methane emissions from super-emitting coal mines in Australia quantified using TROPOMI satellite observations. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 55(24), 16573–16580. doi:10.1021/acs.est.1c03976
20. Mazzini, A., et al. (2021). Relevant methane emission to the atmosphere from a geological gas manifestation. *Scientific Reports*. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-83369-9
21. Zavala-Araiza, D., et al. (2021). A tale of two regions: Methane emissions from oil and gas production in offshore/onshore Mexico. *Environmental Research Letters*. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/abceeb
22. Ma, S., et al. (2021). Satellite constraints on the latitudinal distribution and temperature sensitivity of wetland methane emissions. *AGU Advances*, 2(3), 1–12. doi:10.1029/2021AV000408
23. Zhang, Y., et al. (2020). Quantifying methane emissions from the largest oil-producing basin in the United States from space. *Science Advances*. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaz5120
24. **Pandey, S.**, et al. (2019). Satellite observations reveal extreme methane leakage from a natural gas well blowout. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(52), 26376–26381. doi:10.1073/pnas.1908712116
25. **Pandey, S.**, et al. (2019). Influence of atmospheric transport on estimates of variability in the global methane burden. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46, 2302–2311. doi:10.1029/2018GL081092
26. Ganesan, A. L., et al. (2019). Advancing scientific understanding of the global methane budget in support of the Paris Agreement. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 33(12), 1475–1512. doi:10.1029/2018GB006065
27. Varon, D. J., et al. (2019). Satellite discovery of anomalously large methane point sources from oil/gas production. *Geophysical Research Letters*. doi:10.1029/2019GL083798
28. Dekker, I. N., et al. (2019). What caused the extreme CO concentrations during the 2017 high pollution episode in India? *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19, 3433–3445. doi:10.5194/acp-19-3433-2019
29. Borsdorff, T., et al. (2019). Carbon monoxide air-pollution on sub-city scales and along arterial roads detected by the Tropospheric Monitoring Instrument. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19, 3579–3588. doi:10.5194/acp-19-3579-2019
30. Naus, S., et al. (2019). Constraints and biases in a tropospheric two-box model of OH. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19(1), 407–424. doi:10.5194/acp-19-407-2019
31. Nechita-Banda, N., et al. (2018). Monitoring emissions from the 2015 Indonesian fires using CO satellite data. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 373(1760), 20170307. doi:10.1098/rstb.2017.0307
32. Bruhwiler, L. M., et al. (2017). US CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from oil and gas production: Have recent large increases been detected? *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 122(7), 4070–4083. doi:10.1002/2016JD026157
33. Worden, J. R., et al. (2017). Reduced biomass burning emissions reconcile conflicting estimates of the post-2006 atmospheric methane budget. *Nature Communications*, 8(1), 2227. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02246-0
34. **Pandey, S.**, et al. (2017). Enhanced methane emissions from tropical wetlands during the 2011 La Niña. *Scientific Reports*, 7. doi:10.1038/srep45759

35. **Pandey**, S., et al. (2016). Inverse modeling of GOSAT-retrieved ratios of total column CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> for 2009 and 2010. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16(8), 5043–5062. doi:10.5194/acp-16-5043-2016
36. **Pandey**, S., et al. (2015). On the use of satellite-derived CH<sub>4</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> columns in a joint inversion of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15(15), 8615–8629. doi:10.5194/acp-15-8615-2015

## Other Publications

1. Varon, D.J., et al.: Coarse simulations overestimate the distance to recover NO–NO<sub>2</sub>–O<sub>3</sub> photochemical steady state in fresh NO<sub>x</sub> plumes, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 122 (7) e2425976122, DOI 2025.
2. Sadavarte, P., et al. Rebuttal to Correspondence on "Methane Emissions from Superemitting Coal Mines in Australia Quantified Using TROPOMI Satellite Observations." *Environmental Science and Technology*. link, 2024.
3. Maity, A., et al. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> inversion models overestimate northern extratropical land and ocean carbon uptake as assessed at background in-situ sites. [**Preprint**] Research Square. Under review. link, 2024.
4. Bergamaschi, P., et al. Atmospheric monitoring and inverse modeling for verification of greenhouse gas inventories. *Publications Office of the European Union*. link, 2018.
5. **Pandey**, S. Advancing the use of satellites to constrain atmospheric methane fluxes. Ph.D. Dissertation. Utrecht University. link, 2017.
6. Chevallier, F., et al. Climate Assessment Report for the GHG-CCI project of ESA's Climate Change Initiative. *Publications Office of the European Union*. link, 2017.